EXHIBIT E

05-44481-rdd Doc 20857-7 Filed 11/23/10 Entered 11/23/10 17:41:40 Exhibit E. 3/22/06 Transcript Excerpts Pg 2 of 5

1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	SOUTHERN DIS	TRICT OF NEW YORK
3	IN RE:	
4		. Case No. 05-44481
5	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al,	. Wednesday, March 22, 2006
6	Debtors.	. 2:18 p.m.
7		
8	TRANSCRIPT OF SECTION 1102(a)(2) EVIDENTIARY HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT D. DRAIN UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
9	APPEARANCES:	
10		
11	. Γ	John Wm. Butler, Jr., Esq. David E. Springer, Esq.
12		Dhananjai Shivakumar, Esq. SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
13	11	& FLOM, LLP 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100 Chicago, Illinois 60606
14		
15		Cayalyn A. Marafioti, Esq. CKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP
16		our Times Square Wew York, New York 10036
17	(Appearances continued)	
18	Audio Operator: E	lectronically Recorded
19		y Greg White, ECRO
20		and Transcript Service, Inc. 11 Cheyenne Road
21	L.	afayette, New Jersey 07848 973) 383-6977
22		3.0, 333 03,,
23	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording,	
24	transcript produced by transcription service.	
25	#1 11 ME (M)	



1	APPEARANCES: (Co	ontinued)
2	For Appaloosa Management, LP:	Thomas E. Lauria Ear
3	Management, br.	Thomas E. Lauria, Esq. Rudolph F. Aragon, Esq. Frank L. Eaton, Esq.
4		WHITE & CASE, LLP Wachovia Financial Center
5		200 South Biscayne Boulevard Suite 4900
6		Miami, Florida 33131
7		Glenn M. Kurtz, Esq. Douglas P. Baumstein, Esq.
8		WHITE & CASE, LLP 1155 Avenue of the Americas
9		New York, New York 10036
10	For the U.S. Trustee:	Alicia M. Leonhard, Esq.
11		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Office of the U.S. Trustee
12		33 Whitehall Street, Suite 2100 New York, New York 10004
13		
14	For the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors:	Robert J. Rosenberg, Esq.
15		John W. Weiss, Esq. LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP
16		53rd at Third, 885 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022
17		
18	For JPMorgan, et al:	Kenneth S. Ziman, Esq. Robert Trust, Esq.
19		SIMPSON, THACHER & BARTLETT, LLP 425 Lexington Avenue
20		New York, New York 10017
21	For Brandes Investment Partners, LP:	Daniel A. Lowenthal, Esq.
22		THELEN, REID & PRIEST, LLP 875 Third Avenue
23		New York, New York 10022
24		
25		

Court Decision

not a simple balance sheet restructuring where the capital structure simply needs to be adjusted because there's too much funded debt on the books.

There are serious -- to use the debtors' phrase "transformational issues" that have to be resolved here.

Because of that, I believe that this is the appropriate time to move for an equity committee, and not to wait until later in the day when a plan is actually being negotiated.

I also believe as a corollary to that, the function of the equity committee and the makeup of its professional advisors should be reflected by this timing. As I'll say later, again, I think this leads to the conclusion that although it's not before me, except in my need to weigh the cost of an equity committee's appointment, that it's unlikely that I would approve the retention of investment bankers and accountants or even actuaries at this time for an equity committee, since those functions are not really the functions that need to be performed at this time by an equity committee.

So that in contrast, while in the <u>Loral</u> case I believe that it was incumbent to have an equity committee, if at all, towards the end of the case, here, I believe if it is incumbent on there being an equity committee, this is the time to have one formed.

It is even conceivable to me that if I did form an

Court Decision

equity committee now and it turned out that ultimately I approved interim transformational solutions -transformational solutions to the labor and related pension and GM problems that the debtors face--it might be appropriate to disband the equity committee because, in light of those solutions, it might appear clearly at that time that the debtor was hopelessly insolvent or at least that it was likely that there would be no distribution to shareholders.

But because of the importance of those pending issues, one could at least see a rationale for having an equity committee with counsel in the near future to deal at least with those transformational issues on behalf of the shareholders.

Now, as far as whether the debtor is insolvent or hopelessly insolvent or there is a likelihood of a meaningful distribution to shareholders, I am at this time on this record frankly skeptical that there will be a meaningful distribution, but I'm not prepared to rule it out. I say that for a number of reasons.

First of all, it's undisputed that on a balancesheet basis, and it is correct that the movants' experts did
not disagree that on a balance sheet basis, the debtors'
operating -- most recent operating numbers comply with GAAP,
there is roughly a 6.3-billion-dollar hole, or insolvency.

The question, obviously, is how does one fill that